

# National Aeronautics and Space Administration

## Principal Center for Regulatory Risk Analysis and Communication

#### REGULATORY ALERT

# NSR Program for PM<sub>2.5</sub>

This information was prepared by NASA's Principal Center for Regulatory Risk Analysis and Communication (RRAC). An archive of regulatory alerts, summaries, and other information is posted on the RRAC PC website at <a href="http://www.nasa.gov/offices/rrac/home/">http://www.nasa.gov/offices/rrac/home/</a>. If you have further questions and/or need assistance, please contact Sharon Scroggins/MSFC (256-544-7932, <a href="mailto:sharon.scroggins@nasa.gov">sharon.scroggins@nasa.gov</a>).

Date [Citation]: 11 February 2010 [75 FR 6827]

Regulatory Agency: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Rulemaking Type: Proposed Rule

**Title:** Implementation of the New Source Review (NSR) Program for Particulate Matter Less Than 2.5 Micrometers (PM<sub>2.5</sub>); Notice of Proposed Rulemaking To Repeal Grandfathering Provision and End the PM<sub>10</sub> Surrogate Policy

#### Summary:

On 11 February 2010, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposed two actions, described below, to end EPA's 1997 policy that allows sources and permitting authorities to use a demonstration of compliance with the prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) requirements for particulate matter less than 10 micrometers (PM<sub>10</sub>) as a surrogate for meeting the PSD requirements for particulate matter less than 2.5 micrometers (PM<sub>2.5</sub>). Comments regarding the proposed rule are due to EPA by 15 March 2010.

### Surrogacy

After EPA promulgated the NAAQS for PM<sub>2.5</sub> in 1997 (62 FR 38652), it issued a guidance designed to help states implement the requirements for PSD based on the new PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard due to technical difficulties that arose. The guidance indicated that sources are allowed to use implementation of a PM<sub>10</sub> program as a surrogate for compliance with the PM<sub>2.5</sub> NSR requirements until resolution of technical difficulties with the PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard. The technical issues included the lack of emission calculation tools, modeling techniques, and monitoring sites. The continued use of the PM<sub>10</sub> Surrogate Policy was a transition measure for revising State Implementation Plans (SIPs). In the proposal, EPA is seeking comments regarding several questions, including whether the technical issues that gave rise to the PM<sub>10</sub> Surrogate Policy in 1997 are sufficiently resolved that the policy is no longer needed either for federal or state permitting actions. EPA is also requesting comments about whether SIP-approved states should be considered "unable to implement a PSD program for the PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS" because they lack the legal authority to implement the PSD program for PM<sub>2.5</sub> based on the absence of a significant emissions rate for PM<sub>2.5</sub> or other factors.

#### Grandfathering

New and modified major stationary sources applying for permits under the federal PSD program after the 15 July 2008 effective date of the May 2008 final rule (73 FR 28321) are required to directly satisfy the requirements of PM<sub>2.5</sub> rather than to rely on the PM<sub>10</sub> Surrogate Policy to satisfy those requirements. However, until EPA recently stayed the provision for 3 months, the grandfathering provision contained in the federal PSD program at 40 CFR 52.21(i)(l)(xi) allowed sources that had not yet received final and effective permits, but had submitted a complete PSD permit application before the effective date of the final rule for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, to continue having their applications reviewed on the basis of the PM<sub>10</sub> Surrogate Policy. At the time the grandfathering provisions for PM<sub>2.5</sub> were put into effect, EPA estimated that fewer than 20 proposed new or modified major stationary sources were covered. EPA is soliciting comments regarding the concept of allowing certain sources covered by the federal PSD program to continue to use the PM<sub>10</sub> Surrogate Policy.

RRAC PC REGULATORY SUMMARY NSR PROGRAM FOR PM2.5

#### Potential Impacts to NASA:

NASA Centers and contractor facilities potentially affected by the proposed repeal of the grandfathering provision include any proposed new and modified major sources subject to:

- The federal PSD program that submitted a complete application for a PSD permit by15 July 2008, but have not yet received a
  final and effective permit authorizing the source to commence construction, and those proposed new and modified major
  stationary sources.
- A PSD program in SIP-approved states that have not yet received a final and effective permit authorizing the source to commence construction.
- A PSD program in SIP-approved states that do not have the legal authority to regulate PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

NASA Centers and contractor facilities potentially affected by the proposed repeal of the PM<sub>10</sub> Surrogate Policy are any new and modified major sources located in SIP-approved states. If finalized, facilities would be required to comply directly with the PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard, to use PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission factors in emission calculations, and to directly measure PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions, as applicable.

Additional Information: http://www.epa.gov/nsr/actions.html#2010